CORRECTION

Open Access

Correction to: Effect of incorporation of nano-hydroxyapatite particles on the clinical performance of conventional and resin-modified glass ionomer cement in class V cavities: split-mouth, randomized controlled trial

Zeinab M. Zaki¹, Maha A. Niazy², Mohamed H. Zaazou¹, Shaymaa M. Nagi^{1*} and Dina W. Elkassas³

**Correction to: Bulletin of the National Research Centre
(2021) 45:199**

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-021-00655-2>

Following publication of the original article [1], the authors identified an error in Table 5. The correct table is given below.

Kindly use the table uploaded in this article package.

The original article [1] has been corrected.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-021-00655-2>.

*Correspondence: smnagi@gmail.com

¹ Restorative and Dental Materials Department, Oral and Dental Research Institute, National Research Centre, 33 El Bohouth st. (Former El Tahrir st.), P.O. 12622, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s) 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Table 5 Descriptive statistics and results of Fisher's Exact test for comparison between the four groups

Category	Material	Baseline	3 months	6 months	9 months	P-value	Effect Size (W)
		% (A + B)	% (A + B)	% (A + B)	% (A + B)		
Retention	CGIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	0.392	0.067
	NHA- GIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	0.392	0.067
	RMGIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	0.392	0.067
	NHA-RMGIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	0.392	0.067
		P = NC [†] Effect size = NC [†]	P = NC [†] Effect size = NC [†]	P = 1.000 Effect size = 0.0000	P = 1.000 Effect size = 0.0000		
Color Match	CGIC	100%(80 + 20)	100%(80 + 20)	93.3% (73.3 + 20)	93.3%(66.7 + 26.7)	0.061	0.164
	NHA- GIC	100%(86.7 + 13.3)	100%(80 + 20)	93.3%(66.7 + 26.7)	93.3%(60 + 33.3)	0.010*	0.252
	RMGIC	100%(80 + 20)	100%(80 + 20)	93.3%(66.7 + 26.7)	93.3%(66.7 + 26.7)	0.029*	0.200
	NHA-RMGIC	100%(73.3 + 26.7)	100%(73.3 + 26.7)	93.3%(66.7 + 26.7)	93.3%(60 + 33.3)	0.061	0.164
		P = 0.969 Effect size = 0.118	P = 1.000 Effect size = 0.070	P = 1.000 Effect size = 0.048	P = 1.000 Effect size = 0.052		
Surface Texture	CGIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(80 + 13.3)	93.3%(66.7 + 26.7)	0.007*	0.267
	NHA- GIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(93.3 + 6.7)	93.3%(73.3 + 20)	93.3%(66.7 + 26.7)	0.005*	0.285
	RMGIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(73.3 + 20)	93.3%(60 + 33.3)	0.002*	0.327
	NHA-RMGIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(66.7 + 26.7)	93.3%(53.3 + 40)	0.001*	0.390
		P = NC [†] Effect size = NC [†]	P = 1.000 Effect size = 0.225	P = 0.997 Effect size = 0.084	P = 0.992 Effect size = 0.085		
Surface Staining	CGIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(86.7 + 6.7)	93.3%(86.7 + 6.7)	0.112	0.133
	NHA- GIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	93.3%(80 + 13.3)	0.066	0.160
	RMGIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	0.392	0.067
	NHA-RMGIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(86.7 + 6.7)	93.3%(86.7 + 6.7)	0.112	0.133
		P = NC [†] Effect size = NC [†]	P = NC [†] Effect size = NC [†]	P = 0.997 Effect size = 0.084	P = 0.992 Effect size = 0.085		
Marginal Discoloration	CGIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(86.7 + 6.7)	93.3%(86.7 + 6.7)	0.112	0.133
	NHA- GIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	93.3%(80 + 13.3)	0.066	0.160
	RMGIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	0.392	0.067
	NHA-RMGIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	93.3%(86.7 + 6.7)	0.194	0.105
		P = NC [†] Effect size = NC [†]	P = NC [†] Effect size = NC [†]	P = 1.000 Effect size = 0.160	P = 0.989 Effect size = 0.134		
Marginal Integrity (adaptation)	CGIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(80 + 13.3)	93.3%(66.7 + 26.7)	0.007*	0.267
	NHA- GIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(86.7 + 6.7)	93.3%(73.3 + 20)	0.024*	0.210
	RMGIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	93.3%(86.7 + 6.7)	0.194	0.105
	NHA-RMGIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(86.7 + 6.7)	93.3%(80 + 13.3)	0.061	0.164
		P = NC [†] Effect size = NC [†]	P = NC [†] Effect size = NC [†]	P = 0.989 Effect size = 0.134	P = 0.890 Effect size = 0.142		
Recurrent Caries	CGIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	0.392	0.067
	NHA- GIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	0.392	0.067
	RMGIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	0.392	0.067
	NHA-RMGIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	0.392	0.067
		P = NC [†] Effect size = NC [†]	P = NC [†] Effect size = NC [†]	P = 1.000 Effect size = 0.0000	P = 1.000 Effect size = 0.0000		
Post-operative sensitivity	CGIC	100%(93.3 + 6.7)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	0.392	0.067
	NHA- GIC	100%(100 + 0)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	0.392	0.079
	RMGIC	100%(80 + 20)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	0.312	0.079
	NHA-RMGIC	100%(93.3 + 6.7)	100%(100 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	93.3%(93.3 + 0)	0.392	0.067
		P = 0.306 Effect size = 0.261	P = NC [†] Effect size = NC [†]	P = 1.000 Effect size = 0.0000	P = 1.000 Effect size = 0.0000		

* Significant at $P \leq 0.05$, NC[†]: Not computed because the variable is constant. % (A + B) = Percentages of the total number of restorations assessed at each time period (percentages of Alpha score + Bravo score)

Author details

¹ Restorative and Dental Materials Department, Oral and Dental Research Institute, National Research Centre, 33 El Bohouth st. (Former El Tahrir st.), P.O. 12622, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt. ² Conservative Dentistry Department, Faculty of Dental Medicine for Girls, AL-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt. ³ Conservative Dentistry Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Misr International University, Cairo, Egypt.

Reference

1. Zaki et al (2021) Bulletin of the National Research Centre 45:199. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-021-00655-2>

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.