

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction to: Influence of herbicides under biofertilizer application on fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*) yield and quality with special reference to herbicide residues

Ibrahim Abdallah^{1*} , Alia Amer² and Dalia El-Hefny³

Correction to: Bull Natl Res Cent (2021) 45:77

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-021-00534-w>

After publication of the original article (Abdallah et al. 2021), the authors identified an error in Table 5. The

correct table is given below.

The original article has been corrected.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-021-00534-w>.

*Correspondence: isabdallah@agr.cu.edu.eg

¹ Pesticides Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Table 5 Effect of biofertilizer and herbicides on fennel oil composition

Rates of biofertilizer* (v:v:v)	Herbicide treatments**	Major essential oil constituents (%)					
		α -Pinene	Myrcene	Limonene	1,8 Cineole	Methyl chavicol	Anethole
F1	H1	0.11	1.31	14.59	4.62	77.59	0.44
	H2	1.43	0.92	15.93	4.55	76.15	0.64
	H3	0.71	0.83	13.34	2.62	79.97	1.33
	H4	0.95	0.96	16.46	6.53	72.54	0.70
	H5	2.05	1.11	15.38	6.77	69.79	1.71
F2	H1	0.96	0.98	16.59	6.36	72.29	0.70
	H2	2.02	1.21	15.14	6.69	67.39	2.16
	H3	1.45	1.15	16.87	2.64	75.60	0.29
	H4	1.43	0.29	17.42	2.72	76.58	0.39
	H5	1.41	1.05	9.32	4.5	81.85	0.59
F3	H1	1.98	0.74	14.15	2.42	78.86	0.24
	H2	1.20	0.88	12.61	2.72	81.58	0.31
	H3	2.22	0.79	8.43	4.86	78.37	0.33
	H4	1.15	0.99	20.80	2.07	74.48	0.50
	H5	2.07	0.85	15.50	6.68	65.14	1.93

*The three biofertilizer rates F1: 1:1:1, F2: 2:1:1 and F3: 1:1:2 (v/v/v) from the highly efficient bacterial strains of; atmospheric nitrogen fixation, phosphor dissolving and potassium solubilizing, respectively. **Herbicides treatments; (H1) untreated check without weed removal by hand as control treatment, (H2) untreated check with weed removal, (H3) pendimethalin at reduced rate; 812 g a.i. ha⁻¹, as pre-emergence (after sowing and before irrigation), (H4) fluzifop-p butyl at the recommended rate; 450 g a.i. ha⁻¹ as post-emergence (30 days after sowing) and (H5) a combination of sequential application of pendimthalin at the half rate + fluzifop butyl at the recommended rate.

Author details

¹Pesticides Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt. ²Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Department, Horticulture Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt. ³Central Agricultural Pesticides Laboratory, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt.

Reference

Abdallah et al. *Bull Natl Res Cent* (2021) 45:77. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-021-00534-w>

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Published online: 09 June 2021