RESEARCH

Open Access

Check for updates

Fluoroquinolone antibiotics: in vitro antibacterial and time-kill bactericidal evaluation against etiology of bacteremia in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected patients

Olajide Joseph Akinjogunla^{1*}, Adebowale Toba Odeyemi², Mfonobong Favour Alozie³, Igbagbo Ehinmore⁴, Unyime Effiong Ukpong¹, Jumbo Ediomo¹ and Etieno Kingsley Akpanson¹

Abstract

Background: Bacteremia constitutes a significant public health challenge and represents a vital cause of morbidity and mortality in HIV-infected patients, and fluoroquinolones are commonly prescribed antibiotics due to their range of activities and pharmacokinetic profiles. This study the evaluated antibacterial activities and time-kill kinetics of fluoroquinolone antibiotics: Ofloxacin (OFL), Ciprofloxacin (CIP) and Levofloxacin (LEV) against the etiology of bacteremia of genera *Staphylococcus, Streptococcus, Acinetobacter, Pseudomonas, Klebsiella, Haemophilus, Enterobacter*, and *Salmonella* using disc diffusion, micro-broth dilution and plate count techniques.

Results: The lowest mean growth inhibition zones (mm \pm SD) of OFL, LEV, and CIP against the isolates were 10.5 \pm 0.0, 10.1 \pm 0.1 and 9.6 \pm 0.3, respectively. The MIC values of OFL, LEV and CIP on isolates ranged from 6.25 to > 50 µg/mL, MBC ranged from 12.5 to > 50 µg/mL, while MBC/MIC ratios were \leq 2. The time-kill assay revealed that logarithmic reductions in viable cell counts (Log₁₀ CFU/mL) of bacteria exposed to OFL, LEV and CIP ranged from 0.17 to 2.14 for *P. aeruginosa*; 0.13 to 1.31 for *H. influenzae*; 0.04 to 2.23 for *Acinetobacter* spp; and 0.08 to 2.08 for *K. pneumoniae*. LEV and OFL (1 × MIC concentration) achieved bactericidal effects on *S. typhi* ST07 and *E. aerogenes* EA01 at 30 h post-inoculation, respectively, while \geq 99.9% reduction in the number of viable *K. pneumoniae* cells exposed to CIP was achieved at 24 h post-inoculation.

Conclusion: The fluoroquinolones demonstrated higher inhibitory activities at higher concentrations against the etiology of bacteremia in HIV-infected patients, signifying a concentration-dependent inhibition of bacterial growth. The MIC-based time-kill curve analyses showed that LEV achieved 3 Log₁₀-fold reduction (\geq 99.9% reduction) in CFU/ mL of most etiology of bacteremia faster compared with the other two fluoroquinolones.

Keywords: Fluoroquinolones, Serum bactericidal test, Time-kill kinetics, Bacteria, Cell viability

*Correspondence: papajyde2000@yahoo.com

¹ Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, University of Uyo, P.M.B. 1017, Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Background

The fluoroquinolones are a new class of broad-spectrum antimicrobial agents, synthetic fluorinated analogues of nalidixic acid with a 4-quinolone nucleus and a 1, 8-naphthyridone 3—carboxylic acid (Brar et al. 2020). The quinolone structure comprises a bicyclic system with

© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

a substituent at position N-1, a carboxyl group at position 3, a keto group at position 4, a fluorine atom at position 6 and a nitrogen heterocycle moiety at the C-7 position (Moshirfar et al. 2008). The fluoroquinolones offer first-rate activity against both aerobic Gram-negative bacteria (Escherichia coli, Klebsiella spp, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Haemophilus influenzae) and aerobic Gram-positive bacteria (Nocardia species, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Enterococcus faecalis, and Staphylococcus aureus) (Akinjogunla and Eghafona 2011; Akinjogunla et al. 2012). Similarly, some fluoroquinolones exhibit good activity against the most frequently isolated anaerobic bacteria such as *Peptostreptococcus*, *Fusobacterium*, Bacteriodes and Prevotella species (Goldstein et al. 2002; Snydman et al. 2002). The most extensively used fluoroquinolone antibiotic with potency against Gram-negative bacteria is Ciprofloxacin (Kocsis et al. 2016). Levofloxacin, a stereoisomer of ofloxacin, exerts a bactericidal effect against both Gram-negative and Gram-positive organisms (Kocsis et al. 2016).

The fluoroquinolones target bacterial DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV enzymes that are essential for DNA replication and transcription (Akinjogunla and Eghafona 2011). DNA gyrase is a vital adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-hydrolyzing topoisomerase II enzyme that inhibits the detachment of gyrase from DNA and establishes negative super-helical twists in the bacterial DNA (Brar et al. 2020). Topoisomerase IV (Topo IV) is an A_2B_2 tetramer or a heterotetrameric structure consisting of two ParC subunits and two ParE subunits that are homologous to the two A subunits (gyrA) and two B subunits (gyrB) of DNA gyrase (Helgesen et al. 2021).

Fluoroquinolones are routinely used for the treatment of a variety of bacterial infections such as urinary tract infections and pyelonephritis, gastrointestinal and respiratory tract infections (Hooper 2000; Lode and Allewett 2002), skin and soft tissue infections (Martin and Zeigler 2004; Akinjogunla et al. 2012), cystic fibrosis (Akkerman-Nijland et al. 2021), prostatitis and osteomyelitis (Park et al. 2019), and uncomplicated sexually transmitted and bloodstream infections (Lo et al. 2017). Bacterial bloodstream infections constitute a significant public health challenge (Adeleye et al. 2010) and also cause a high morbidity and mortality rate in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)--infected (Akinjogunla and Adegoke 2009; Ojo-Bola and Oluyege 2014). HIV-infected patients are prone to bloodstream infections due to altered B-cell function, defective cell-mediated immunity, and a dearth of neutrophils, leading to a rise in the susceptibility of patients to infections (Zurlo and Lane 1997).

Although reports on the susceptibility of bacterial isolates to fluoroquinolones have been documented, the studies on the time-kill bactericidal activities of fluoroquinolones against blood isolates from patients in our localities are not readily available. The objective of this study was to determine the in vitro antibacterial and time-kill bactericidal evaluation of Ofloxacin, Ciprofloxacin and Levofloxacin against the etiology of bacteremia in HIV-infected patients.

Methods

Materials used

Test tubes, test tube rack, conical flasks, sterile syringes, pipettes, Durham tubes, McCartney bottles, wire loops, Petri dishes, beaker, autoclave, incubator, oven, microscope slide, weighing balance, cotton wool, measuring cylinder, Bunsen burner, refrigerator and spectrophotometer were used.

Sterilization of materials

All glass wares used for this research were thoroughly washed with detergent and rinsed under clean running water. Thereafter, glass wares were sterilized in the hot air oven at 180 $^{\circ}$ C for an hour, and the wire loop was flamed to redness before and after use.

Collection and identification of etiology of bacteremia

The etiology of bacteremia in HIV-infected patients was identified by the Vitek 2 automated system (Biomerieux Inc., France) and as well by conventional biochemical tests. The results obtained were compared with databases for bacterial isolates in *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*, were used in this study (Holts et al. 1994). The isolates comprised of *Staphylococcus aureus* (n=2), *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (n=2), *Acinetobacter* spp (n=1), *Salmonella typhi* (n=2), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (n=2), *Enterobacter aerogenes* (n=1), *Haemophilus influenzae* (n=1), and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (n=1). These isolates were obtained from the Microbiology Laboratory, University of Uyo, Akwa Ibom State.

Source of fluoroquinolone antibiotics

Ofloxacin (OFL 500 mg, Ronald Pharmaceuticals Pvt, Vadodara, India); Levofloxacin (LEV 500 mg, Zee Laboratory, India); and Ciprofloxacin (CIP 400 mg, Jiangsu Ruinian Pharmaceuticals Ltd, China) were purchased in tablet form from standard pharmacy stores in Uyo. Stock solutions (10 mg/mL) of OFL, LEV and CIP were prepared using sterile distilled water (dH₂0) as the solvent and stored at 4 °C prior to each experiment.

Antibacterial activities of fluoroquinolones against etiology of bacteremia

The antibacterial activities of fluoroquinolone antibiotics: OFL, LEV and CIP against etiology of bacteremia in HIV-infected patients were determined by disc diffusion method (CLSI 2018; Akinjogunla et al. 2021). The isolates used were S. aureus (SA08, SA21); S. pneumoniae, (SP02, SP10); Acinetobacter spp (AS01); S. typhi (ST07, ST40); K. pneumoniae (KP26, KP32); E. aerogenes (EA01); H. influenzae (HI27) and P. aeruginosa (PA09). Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA) plates were aseptically prepared and 100 µL of each bacterial inoculum, prepared directly from an overnight nutrient agar plate and adjusted to 0.5 McFarland Turbidity Standard (corresponding to approximately 10⁶ CFU/mL), was inoculated onto each MHA plate and thereafter evenly spread using a sterile spreader. Each test antibiotic (OFL, LEV and CIP) was dissolved in dH₂0 to achieve graded concentrations of 2.5 and 5 mg/mL. Each sterile filter paper disc of 6 mm diameter was impregnated with 10 μ L of 2.5 and 5 mg/mL test antibiotic. The impregnated discs were carefully placed on to MHA plates which had previously been inoculated with the isolates and were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. A disc containing 10 μ L of dH₂0 that served as a solvent control was included in each plate. The same procedure described above was repeated for LEV and CIP. The experiments were performed in independent triplicates to validate the results, and the mean zones of inhibition diameter in millimeters were determined.

Evaluation of minimum inhibitory and minimum bacteriocidal concentrations of fluoroquinolones

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values of fluoroquinolone antibiotics: OFL, LEV and CIP against etiology of bacteremia in HIV-infected patients were determined using micro-broth dilution technique (CLSI 2018). Five hundred (500) mg of OFL, LEV and CIP were separately dissolved into 50 mL of dH₂0 to give a concentration of 10 mg/mL. One milliliter (mL) of the stock solution (10 mg/mL of OFL, LEV and CIP) was serially diluted in sterile dH₂0 by twofold dilution to achieve the range of test concentrations of 5-0.625 mg/mL for each antibiotic solution. To 100 µL of varying concentrations of OFL (0.625, 1.25, 2.5, 5 and 10 mg/mL) in test tubes was added nutrient broth (9.9 ml) to give the final concentrations of 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50 and 100 µg/mL for the MIC testing and a loopful of each prepared bacterial isolate was added. A tube comprising dH₂0 with inoculum bacterial cells served as control. The same procedure described above was repeated for LEV and CIP. All the culture tubes were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h and thereafter the tubes were examined for microbial growth (turbidity measured using spectrophotometer). The MIC was taken as the lowest concentration of OFL, LEV and CIP that visibly inhibited the bacterial growth after 24 h of incubation.

For the minimum bacteriocidal concentration (MBC), the aliquot (1 mL) from each of MIC broth

tubes without visible growth was inoculated onto each of the sterile nutrient agar plates using sterile pipette and streaked. The inoculated plates were inverted and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. After incubation, the least concentration of the OFL that killed the bacterial isolate was observed and considered as the MBC value. The same procedure was repeated for LEV and CIP as described above.

Time-kill bactericidal evaluation of fluoroquinolones against etiology of bacteremia

The time-kill evaluation of fluoroquinolone antibiotics: OFL, LEV and CIP against etiology of bacteremia was carried out using macro broth dilution and pour plate techniques (CLSI 2018; Agbo et al. 2020). An overnight nutrient broth culture of each isolate, adjusted to 0.5 McFarland turbidity standard to obtain a starting inoculum between 10^5 and 10^6 CFU/mL (confirmed by quantitative plate counts), was used. The tubes containing the isolates were shaken at 150 rpm for 90 min at 37 °C to ensure that isolates were in their early exponential phase of growth. One (1) millilitre of each exponentially growing isolate was added to 9 ml of nutrient broth containing 1 mL of OFL (concentrations equal to MIC). Bacterial growth was quantified at time '0' h (immediately after addition of the OFL) and also at defined time intervals (6, 12, 18, 24 and 30 h) by aseptically taking 1 mL of aliquot, diluting serially (tenfold dilutions) in sterile dH₂0 and plating out 1 mL of the final dilution onto a nutrient agar plate. All plates were incubated aerobically at 37 °C for 24 h and after incubation, the colonies on each plate were enumerated and viable cells were expressed as CFU/mL. The same procedure described above was repeated for LEV and CIP. A growth control comprising the inoculated broth medium without the antibiotics was set up, and 1 mL was plated on nutrient agar. The percent and log reductions of the bacterial cells exposed to OFL, LEV and CIP were calculated for each of the time intervals. The Log₁₀ CFU/mL of survived bacterial cells against exposure time (hrs) were plotted on a semi-logarithm graph for each isolate to obtain time-kill curve. Activity of the antibiotics was considered bacteriocidal at the lowest concentration that reduced the initial inoculum by>3 log₁₀ CFU/mL (99.9%) and bacteriostatic at the lowest concentration that reduced the initial inoculum by < 3 \log_{10} CFU/mL.

Reductions of the bacterial cells exposed to fluoroquinolone antibiotics

The percentage and logarithm reductions of the bacterial cells exposed to each antibiotic: OFL, LEV and CIP were, respectively, calculated as follows:

Gram	reaction	COA	CAT	STA	۷P	MR	NIT	DNI	МОТ	C	H ₂ S	IXC	PPS F	RU	CYL R	4F M	AN M	ALG	AL	ט ק∪	LU SI	JC Probable bacteria (codes	~
Gram	Shape																						
+	Cocci	+	+	I	+	+	+	I		+		+	י ס			+	+	+	+	+	+	Staphylococcus aureus SA0	
+	Cocci	I	I	+	I	+	+	I	I	+	I	I	+	_	+		+	Ŧ	+	+	+	 Streptococcus pneumoniae 	SP02
I	Rod	I	+	I	I	I	I	I	I	+	I	-	י ס		+	1	+	Ŧ		+		Acinetobacter spp AS01	
I	Rod	I	+	I	I	+	+	I	+	I	+	L L	т g	_	' +	+	+	т	+	+		Salmonella typhi ST07	
I	Rod	I	+	I	+	I	+	I	I	+	I	-	י ק	+	+	+	+	т	+	+	+	. Klebsiella pneumoniae KP26	_
I	Rod	I	+	I	+	I	+	I	+	+	I	-	т g		+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	Enterobacter aerogenes EAC	, -
I	C-rod	I	+	I	I	I	+	+	I	+	I	+	י ס		+	I	+	Ŧ		+		Haemophilus influenzae HI2	7
I	Rod	I	+	I	I	I	+	I	+	+	I	+	י ס			+	I	'	1		I	Pseudomonas aeruginosa P	409
+	Cocci	+	+	I	+	+	+	I	I	+	I	+	י ס			+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	 Staphylococcus aureus SA2 	
+	Cocci	I	I	+	I	+	+	I	I	+	I		+		+	1	+	т	+	+	+	Streptococcus pneumoniae	SP10
I	Rod	I	+	I	I	+	+	I	+	I	+	L L	י ק	+	+	+	+	т	+	+		Salmonella typhi ST21	
I	Rod	I	+	I	+	I	+	I	I	+	I	-	т о		+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	Klebsiella pneumoniae KP32	
COA Co MAN M.	agulase, <i>C</i> / annitol, <i>M</i> A	AT Catala: L Maltos	se, STA 5 e, GAL G	Starch, V ialactose	P Voges 2, LAC Lá	s-Prosk actose,	auer, MI GLU Glu	R Methy Lcose, Si	l red, <i>NII</i> UC Sucro	Nitrate	, IND Ind lot defir	dole, <i>MC</i> ie	T Motilit	y, CIT Ci	trate, H_2	Hydrog	ien sulph	ide, <i>OXI</i>	Dxidase,	ops op	tochin, H	RU Fructose, XYL Xylose, RAF Raffin-	ose,

 Table 1
 Morphological and biochemical characteristics of etiology of bacteremia in HIV-infected patients



Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed in triplicates, and statistical significance difference (P < 0.05) between the mean values was determined by Duncan multiple range test using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SSPS version 22).

Results

Morphological and biochemical characteristics of etiology of bacteremia

The morphological and biochemical results of the bacterial isolates used for this study are.

presented in Table 1. The probable bacteria, re-identified by conventional biochemical tests and the Vitek 2 automated system, were *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Acinetobacter* spp., *Salmonella typhi*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

Antibacterial activities of fluoroquinolone antibiotics against etiology of bacteremia

The LEV and CIP at a concentration of 5.0 mg/mL inhibited 100% of the tested isolates with the highest mean zone of growth inhibition of 19.3 ± 1.3 mm (Table 2). The results showed that OFL inhibited > 90% of these isolates (exception, *S. typhi* ST40) at a concentration of 5.0 mg/ mL, while *Acinetobacter* spp. AS01; *S. pneumoniae* SP10 and *S. aureus* SA21 displayed resistance to growth inhibition of CIP at a concentration of 2.5 mg/mL. The lowest mean (mm ± SD) zone of inhibition obtained was 10.5 ± 0.0 , 10.1 ± 0.1 , and 9.6 ± 0.3 for 2.5 mg/mL of OFL, LEV and CIP, respectively. Additionally, 2.5 mg/mL of OFL had no antimicrobial activity against two tested isolates of *S. typhi* (ST07 and ST40) (Table 2).

Minimum inhibitory concentration and minimum bacteriocidal concentration of fluoroquinolones against etiology of bacteremia

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bacteriocidal concentration (MBC) values for OFL, LEV, and CIP against the etiology of bacteremia in HIVinfected patients are shown in Table 3. The MIC values of OFL ranged from the lowest (12.5 µg/mL) for *K. pneumoniae* KP32 and KP26; *E. aerogenes* EA01 and *S. pneumoniae* SP02 to the highest (>50 µg/mL) for *S. typhi* ST40. Six isolates had a Levofloxacin MIC value of 12.5 µg/mL, and 50.0% of the isolates had a Levofloxacin MIC value of 25 µg/mL. The MIC values of CIP for the isolates ranged from 12.5 to 50 µg/mL, while *P. aeruginosa* PA09 showed a Ciprofloxacin MIC value of 6.25 µg/mL. The MBC values of LEV and CIP for the 12 isolates: *S. aureus* (n=2), *S. pneumoniae* (n=2), *Acinetobacter* spp. (n=1), *S. typhi* (n=2), *K. pneumoniae* (n=2), *E. aerogenes* (n=1), *H. influenzae* (n=1), and *P. aeruginosa* (n=1) ranged from 12.5 to 50 µg/mL, while MBC values of OFL for the isolates were between the ranges of 12.5 to >50 µg/mL. The MBC/MIC ratios of OFL, LEV and CIP on the isolates ranged between 1 and 2.

Time-kill bactericidal evaluation of fluoroquinolones against etiology of bacteremia

The percentage and logarithmic reductions of viable bacterial cells (Log₁₀ CFU/ml) exposed to OFL, LEV, and CIP at 6 h intervals after incubation are presented in Table 4. Bacteriocidal activity of OFL, LEV, and CIP was deemed to be present if there was a > 99.9% reduction in survival from the original inoculum. The results indicated that OFL, LEV, and CIP exhibited a reduction in the viable cell counts of the test bacteria after 30 h of interaction at the $1 \times MIC$ concentrations. The percent and log reduction in viable cell counts of P. aeruginosa PA09 exposed to OFL ranged from 54.8 to \geq 99.9% and 0.35 to 2.14 Log₁₀ CFU/ml after 30 h of interaction, respectively. The time-kill kinetics curves of OFL against P. aeruginosa PA09 and H. influenzae HI27 are shown in Fig. 1. The lowest log reduction in viable cell counts of *H. influenzae* HI27, Acinetobacter spp. AS01 and K. pneumoniae KP32 exposed to OFL was 0.3, 0.04 and 0.08 Log₁₀ CFU/ml, respectively. The percent reduction in viable cell counts of S. typhi ST07 and E. aerogenes EA01 exposed to OFL ranged from 16.0 to 96.8% and 23.1 to > 99.9% after 30 h of interaction, respectively. The ranges of log reduction in viable cell counts of S. aureus SA21 and S. pneumoniae SP02 exposed to OFL for 30 h were 0.1 to 1.28 Log₁₀ CFU/ml and 0.19 to 1.26 Log₁₀ CFU/ml, respectively. Figure 1 also depicts the time-kill kinetics curve of.

OFL against *S. aureus* SA21 and *S. pneumoniae* SP02. The log reduction in viable cell counts of *P. aeruginosa* PA09, *H. influenzae* HI27, *Acinetobacter* spp. AS01 and *K. pneumoniae* KP32 exposed to LEV for 30 h ranged from 0.17 to 1.58; 0.23 to 2.0; 0.08 to 1.35 and 0.67 to 2.04 Log_{10} CFU/mL, respectively (Table 4). The time-kill kinetics curve of LEV (1 × MIC) and control against *S. typhi* ST07,

Bacterial isolates	Isolates code	Zone of inhib	oition (mm \pm SD)				
		Ofloxacin		Levofloxacin		Ciprofloxacir	ı	10%
		2.5 mg/mL	5.0 mg/mL	2.5 mg/mL	5.0 mg/mL	2.5 mg/mL	5.0 mg/mL	DMSO
P. aeruginosa	PA09	15.1 ± 0.1^{a}	17.5 ± 0.5^{a}	13.5 ± 0.1^{a}	16.4 ± 1.0^{a}	15.7 ± 0.2^{a}	18.0 ± 1.0^{a}	NZ
H. influenzae	HI27	11.8 ± 0.2^{a}	14.3 ± 0.2^{b}	14.0 ± 0.0^a	16.5 ± 0.0^a	13.3 ± 0.2^a	15.7 ± 0.1^{a}	NZ
Acinetobacter spp	AS01	NZ	12.5 ± 0.1	11.2 ± 0.3^{a}	13.8 ± 0.2^{a}	NZ	11.2 ± 0.0	NZ
K. pneumoniae	KP32	12.8 ± 0.1^{a}	16.1 ± 0.2^{b}	12.1 ± 0.1^{a}	15.7 ± 0.5^{b}	11.0 ± 0.0^{a}	14.4 ± 0.2^{b}	NZ
K. pneumoniae	KP26	12.3 ± 0.2^a	16.8 ± 0.5^{b}	14.9 ± 0.2^{a}	$19.3\pm1.3^{\rm b}$	14.2 ± 0.2^{a}	$19.0\pm1.5^{\rm b}$	NZ
S. typhi	ST07	NZ	9.3 ± 0.1	10.3 ± 0.1^a	13.2 ± 0.1^{a}	NZ	11.5 ± 0.1	NZ
S. typhi	ST40	NZ	NZ	9.5 ± 0.0^{a}	11.2 ± 0.2^{a}	NZ	10.0 ± 0.0	NZ
E. aerogenes	EA01	14.6 ± 0.4^{a}	$18.0\pm1.0^{\rm b}$	14.7 ± 0.5^{a}	$17.5\pm1.0^{\rm b}$	12.0 ± 0.0^{a}	16.4 ± 0.3^{b}	NZ
S. aureus	SA08	10.5 ± 0.0^a	15.5 ± 0.5^{b}	12.4 ± 0.2^{a}	16.0 ± 0.5^{b}	12.9 ± 0.1^{a}	15.7 ± 0.2^{a}	NZ
S. aureus	SA21	NZ	12.0 ± 0.0	10.1 ± 0.1^{a}	14.4 ± 0.1^{b}	NZ	12.0 ± 0.0	NZ
S. pneumoniae	SP02	11.1 ± 0.1^{a}	16.9 ± 0.1^{b}	9.6 ± 0.3^{a}	14.6 ± 0.1^{b}	13.6 ± 0.4^{a}	17.2 ± 0.2^{b}	NZ
S. pneumoniae	SP10	NZ	13.3 ± 0.2	11.5 ± 0.2^{a}	16.5 ± 0.5^a	13.9 ± 1.1^{a}	$19.0\pm1.0^{\rm b}$	NZ

Table 2 Antibacterial activities of fluoroquinolone antibiotics against etiology of bacteremia in HIV-infected patients

Each value represents the mean of three replicates and standard deviation. Mean within the column followed by the different superscript letters are significant as determined by Duncan's multiple range test (P < 0.05)

mm Means, SD Standard deviation, NZ No inhibitory zone, DMSO Dimethyl sulphoxide

 Table 3
 Minimum inhibitory and minimum bacteriocidal concentrations of fluoroquinolone antibiotics against etiology of bacteremia in HIV-infected patients

Bacterial isolates	Isolate codes	MIC (µg	ı/mL)		MBC (µ	g/mL)		MBC/M	IC ratios	
		OFL	LEV	CIP	OFL	LEV	CIP	OFL	LEV	CIP
P. aeruginosa	PA09	6.25	12.5	6.25	12.5	25	12.5	2	2	2
H. influenzae	HI27	25	12.5	12.5	50	12.5	25	2	1	2
Acinetobacter spp	AS01	50	25	50	50	50	50	1	2	1
K. pneumoniae	KP32	12.5	12.5	25	25	25	50	2	2	2
K. pneumoniae	KP26	12.5	12.5	12.5	25	12.5	12.5	2	1	1
S. typhi	ST07	50	25	50	50	25	50	1	1	1
S. typhi	ST40	> 50	25	50	> 50	50	50	1	2	1
E. aerogenes	EA01	12.5	12.5	12.5	12.5	12.5	25	1	1	2
S. aureus	SA08	25	12.5	12.5	25	25	25	1	2	2
S. aureus	SA21	50	25	50	50	50	50	1	2	1
S. pneumoniae	SP02	12.5	25	12.5	25	50	25	2	2	2
S. pneumoniae	SP10	50	25	12.5	50	50	25	1	2	2

MIC Minimum inhibitory concentration, MBC minimum bacteriocidal concentration, OFL Ofloxacin, LEV Levofloxacin, CIP Ciprofloxacin

E. aerogenes EA01, *S. aureus* SA21 and *S. pneumoniae* SP02 are shown in Fig. 1. At a $1 \times$ MIC concentration, LEV achieved bactericidal effects on *S. typhi* ST07 and *S. pneumoniae* SP02 at 30 h post-inoculation, while \geq 99.9% reduction in survival from the original inoculum was achieved for *S. aureus* SA21 at 24 h post-inoculation (Table 4). The percentage and logarithm reductions of viable bacterial cells (Log₁₀ CFU/mL) exposed to CIP at 6 h intervals after incubation are presented in Table 4.

The CIP had bactericidal effects on *P. aeruginosa* PA09, *H. influenzae* HI27 and *Acinetobacter* spp. AS01 at 30 h postinoculation, while \geq 99.9% reduction in survival from the original inoculum was achieved for *K. pneumoniae* KP32 at 24 h post-inoculation. Also, CIP was not bactericidal against *S. typhi* ST07, *E. aerogenes* EA01, *S. aureus* SA21 and *S. pneumoniae* SP02 at 1.0 times the MIC. The timekill kinetics curve of CIP (1 × MIC) and control against bacterial isolates is shown in Fig. 1.

S
.0
Ħ
·≓
- <u>-</u>
Ę
Ē
0
ě
õ
-
ĕ
÷≣
7
X
Ĕ
<u> </u>
1
÷
5
-
<i>a</i>
Š
Q
9
<u> </u>
ű
e.
0
a
·Ξ
e.
U
g
\Box
\Box
č
0
÷
g
7
8
2
<u> </u>
<u> </u>
2
÷
Ľ.
g
8,
$\underline{-}$
σ
\subseteq
σ
Ð
õ
4
<u>_</u>
g
Ę
e
ц_
4
<u> </u>
2
a'

Isolate codes	Exposed	OFL			LEV			CIP		
		PC (CFU/ml)	Log ₁₀ CFU/ml	%/Log reduction	PC (CFU/ml)	Log ₁₀ (CFU/ml)	%/Log reduction	PC (CFU/ml)	Log ₁₀ CFU/ml	%/Log reduction
PA09	0	4.6×10^{5}	5.66	NA/NA	4.0×10^{5}	5.60	NA/NA	4.1×10^{5}	5.61	NA/NA
	9	5.0×10^{4}	4.70	89.1/0.97	4.9×10^{4}	4.69	87.8/0.91	5.0×10^{4}	4.70	87.8/0.91
	12	2.5×10^{3}	3.40	95.0/1.3	1.3×10^{3}	3.11	97.3/1.58	3.2×10^{3}	3.51	93.6/1.39
	18	3.1×10^2	2.49	87.6/0.9	2.9×10^{2}	2.46	77.7/0.65	3.0×10^{2}	2.48	90.6/1.03
	24	1.4×10^2	2.15	54.8/0.35	1.5×10^{2}	2.18	48.3/0.29	1.2×10^{2}	2.08	60.0/0.4
	30	DN	0.0	≥ 99.9/2.14	1.0×10^{2}	2.0	33.3/0.17	DN	0.0	≥ 99.9/2.08
	0	5.1×10^{5}	5.71	NA/NA	4.5×10^{5}	5.65	NA/NA	4.0×10^{5}	5.60	NA/NA
	9	2.6×10^{5}	5.41	49.0/0.3	5.3×10^{4}	4.72	88.2/0.93	5.6×10^{4}	4.75	86/0.85
HI27	12	6.0×10^{4}	4.78	76.9/0.63	3.1×10^{4}	4.49	41.5/0.23	4.1×10^{3}	3.61	92.7/1.14
	18	4.3×10^{3}	3.63	92.8/1.15	2.0×10^{3}	3.30	93.5/1.19	2.9×10^{2}	2.46	92.9/1.15
	24	2.1×10^{2}	2.32	95.1/1.31	1.0×10^{2}	2.0	95.0/1.3	1.0×10^{2}	2.0	65.5/0.46
	30	1.0×10^{2}	2.0	52.4/0.32	DN	0.0	≥ 99.9/2.0	DN	0.0	≥ 99.9/2.0
AS01	0	3.9×10^{5}	5.59	NA/NA	3.6×10^{5}	5.56	NA/NA	3.0×10^{5}	5.48	NA/NA
	9	2.8×10^{4}	4.45	92.8/1.14	2.0×10^{4}	4.30	94.4/1.26	4.1×10^{4}	4.61	86.3/0.87
	12	1.6×10^{3}	3.20	94.3/1.25	3.4×10^{3}	3.53	83.0/0.77	2.6×10^{3}	3.41	93.7/1.2
	18	1.1×10^{2}	2.04	93.1/1.16	1.5×10^{2}	2.18	95.6/1.35	2.0×10^2	2.30	92.3/1.11
	24	1.0×10^2	2.0	90.9/0.04	1.2×10^{2}	2.08	20/0.1	1.7×10^{2}	2.23	15/0.07
	30	DN	0.0	≥ 99.9/2.0	1.0×10^{2}	2.0	16.7/0.08	DN	0.0	≥ 99.9/2.23
KP32	0	6.9×10^{5}	5.84	NA/NA	5.4×10^{5}	5.73	NA/NA	5.4×10^{5}	5.73	NA/NA
	9	1.1×10^{5}	5.04	84.1/1.26	6.0×10^{4}	4.78	88.9/0.95	4.8×10^{4}	4.68	91.1/1.05
	12	1.0×10^{4}	4.0	90.9/0.77	3.5×10^{3}	3.54	94.2/1.24	2.5×10^{3}	3.40	94.8/1.28
	18	3.6×10^{3}	3.56	64.0/1.35	5.1×10^{2}	2.71	85.4/0.83	1.2×10^{2}	2.08	95.2/1.32
	24	1.7×10^{2}	2.23	95.3/0.1	1.1×10^{2}	2.04	78.4/0.67	DN	0.0	≥ 99.9/2.08
	30	1.0×10^{2}	2.0	41.2/0.08	DN	0.0	≥ 99.9/2.04	DN	0.0	0.0/9.69 ≤
ST07	0	3.3×10^{5}	5.52	NA/NA	3.7×10^{5}	5.57	NA/NA	3.1×10^{5}	5.49	NA/NA
	9	4.7×10^{4}	4.67	85.8/0.85	2.9×10^{4}	4.46	92.2/1.11	4.2×10^{4}	4.62	86.5/0.87
	12	1.5×10^{3}	3.18	96.8/1.49	2.5×10^{3}	3.40	91.4/1.06	1.5×10^{3}	3.18	96.4/1.44
	18	2.5×10^2	2.40	83.3/0.78	1.9×10^{2}	2.28	92.4/1.12	2.9×10^{2}	2.46	80.7/0.72
	24	2.1×10^{2}	2.32	16.0/0.08	1.0×10^{2}	2.0	47.4/0.28	2.4×10^{2}	2.38	17.2/0.08
	30	1.0×10^{2}	2.0	52.4/0.32	DN	0.0	≥ 99.9/2.0	1.5×10^{2}	2.18	37.5/0.2

Isolate codes	Exposed	OFL			LEV			CIP		
	time (h)	PC (CFU/ml)	Log ₁₀ CFU/ml	%/Log reduction	PC (CFU/ml)	Log ₁₀ (CFU/ml)	%/Log reduction	PC (CFU/ml)	Log ₁₀ CFU/ml	%/Log reduction
EA01	0	4.5×10^{5}	5.65	NA/NA	5.7×10^{5}	5.76	NA/NA	4.0×10^{5}	5.60	NA/NA
	9	3.4×10^{4}	4.53	92.4/1.13	2.6×10^{4}	4.41	95.4/1.35	2.9×10^{5}	5.46	27.5/0.14
	12	2.6×10^{3}	3.41	92.1/1.12	3.6×10^{3}	3.56	86.2/0.85	4.5×10^{4}	4.65	84.5/0.81
	18	2.0×10^{3}	3.30	23.1/0.11	2.4×10^{2}	2.38	93.3/1.18	3.1×10^{3}	3.49	93.1/1.16
	24	1.7×10^2	2.23	91.5/1.07	2.0×10^{2}	2.30	16.7/0.08	2.0×10^{2}	2.30	93.5/1.19
	30	ВN	0.0	≥ 99.9/2.23	1.1×10^{2}	2.04	45.0/0.26	1.4×10^{2}	2.15	30.0/0.15
SA21	0	3.7×10^{5}	5.57	NA/NA	5.0×10^{5}	5.70	NA/NA	4.6×10^{5}	5.66	NA/NA
	9	4.0×10^{4}	4.60	89.2/0.97	3.9×10^{4}	4.59	92.2/1.11	4.0×10^{4}	4.60	91.3/1.06
	12	2.1×10^{3}	3.32	94.8/1.28	2.0×10^{3}	3.30	94.9/1.29	2.5×10^{4}	4.40	37.5/0.20
	18	2.5×10^2	2.40	88.1/0.92	1.7×10^{2}	2.23	91.5/1.07	3.9×10^{3}	3.59	84.4/0.81
	24	2.0×10^{2}	2.30	20.0/0.1	DN	0.0	≥ 99.9/2.23	2.6×10^{2}	2.41	93.3/1.18
	30	1.6×10^{2}	2.20	20.0/0.1	DN	0.0	≥ 99.9/0.0	1.5×10^{2}	2.18	42.3/0.23
SP02	0	4.1×10^{5}	5.61	NA/NA	3.8×10^{5}	5.58	NA/NA	4.0×10^{5}	5.60	NA/NA
	9	1.0×10^{5}	5.00	75.6/0.61	6.5×10^{4}	4.81	82.9/0.77	1.0×10^{5}	5.00	75.0/0.6
	12	6.3×10^{4}	4.80	37.0/0.20	4.1×10^{3}	3.61	93.7/1.20	5.5×10^4	4.74	45.0/0.26
	18	3.5×10^{3}	3.54	94.4/1.26	2.7×10^{2}	2.43	93.4/1.18	3.4×10^{3}	3.53	93.8/1.21
	24	2.0×10^{2}	2.30	94.3/1.24	1.5×10^{2}	2.18	44.4/0.25	2.5×10^2	2.40	92.6/1.13
	30	1.3×10^{2}	2.11	35.0/0.19	DN	0.0	≥ 99.9/2.18	1.0×10^{2}	2.00	60.0/0.40
PA09, <i>P. aerugino</i> Ciprofloxacin; ST	sa; HI27, H. infl 07, S. typhi; EA	'uenzae; AS01, Acin€ 01, E. aerogenes; SA	etobacter spp; KP32, H 21, S. aureus; SP02, S.	K. pneumonia; PC, Plate c. pneumoniae; PC, Plate C	ounts; CFU, Colon) ounts; CFU, Colon)	v forming units; ml, Mi y forming units; ml, Mı	illilitre; NG, No growth; N illilitre; NG, No growth; N	A, Not available; O IA, Not available; C	⁼ L, Ofloxacin; LEV, Lé FL, Ofloxacin; LEV, L	evofloxacin; CIP, evofloxacin; CIP,
Liprorioxacin										

Table 4 (continued)



Increases in viable cell counts of bacteria not exposed to OFL, LEV, and CIP within the 30 h of incubation period were observed and are presented in Table 5. The increase in viable cell counts of *P. aeruginosa* PA09, *H. influenzae* HI27, *Acinetobacter* spp. AS01 and *K. pneumoniae* KP32 ranged from 5.67 to 7.04, 5.72 to 6.95, 5.65 to 7.30, and 5.87 to 7.20 (Log_{10} CFU/mL), respectively. Similarly, an increase in viable cell counts from 5.60 to 7.15 Log_{10} CFU/mL was observed for *S. typhi* ST07; 5.77 to 7.32 Log_{10} CFU/mL for *E. aerogenes* EA01; 5.72 to 7.11 Log_{10} CFU/mL for *S. aureus* SA21 and 5.65 to 7 Log_{10} CFU/mL for *S. pneumoniae* SP02.

 Table 5
 Growth of bacterial cells unexposed to fluoroquinolone antibiotics

Bacterial isolates	Codes	Time interval (h)	Plate counts (CFU/ml)	Log ₁₀ (CFU/ml)
P. aeruginosa	PA09	0	4.8 × 10 ⁵	5.68
5		6	6.2×10^{5}	5.79
		12	2.6×10^{6}	6.41
		18	5.3×10^{6}	6.72
		24	7.0×10^{6}	6.84
		30	1.1×10^{7}	7.04
H. influenzae	HI27	0	5.3 × 10 ⁵	5.72
		6	5.9 × 10 ⁵	5.77
		12	2.9 × 10 ⁶	6.46
		18	7.4×10^{6}	6.87
		24	8.3×10^{6}	6.92
		30	9.0×10^{6}	6.95
Acinetobacter spp	AS01	0	4.5×10^{5}	5.65
		6	7.0×10^{5}	5.85
		12	3.6×10^{6}	6.56
		18	8.1×10^{6}	6.91
		24	1.3×10^{7}	7.11
		30	2.0×10^{7}	7.30
K pneumoniae	KP32	0	7.4×10^{5}	5.87
n. pricamornac	10.52	6	1.0×10^{6}	6.00
		12	2.5×10^{6}	6.40
		18	6.2×10^{6}	679
		24	1.0×10^{7}	7.00
		30	1.6×10^{7}	7 20
S typhi	ST07	0	4.0×10^{5}	56
5.()p	5107	6	5.4×10^5	5.73
		12	3.8×10^{6}	6.58
		18	1.0×10^{7}	7.00
		24	1.0×10^{7}	7.04
		30	1.4×10^{7}	715
F aeroaenes	FA01	0	5.9×10^{5}	5 77
E. derogenes	Enton	6	1.0×10^{6}	60
		12	1.8×10^{6}	6.26
		18	1.0×10^{7}	7.08
		74	1.2×10^7	7.20
		30	2.1×10^7	7.20
Saureus	SA21	0	5.2×10^5	5.72
5. duicus	5/121	6	7.2×10^{5}	5.86
		12	1.0×10^{6}	6.00
		12 18	3.0×10^{6}	6.59
		74	1.0×10^7	7.00
		30	1.3×10^7	7.11

Table 5 (co	ontinued)
-------------	-----------

Bacterial isolates	Codes	Time interval (h)	Plate counts (CFU/ml)	Log ₁₀ (CFU/ml)
S. pneumoniae	SP02	0	4.5 × 10 ⁵	5.65
		6	6.0×10^{5}	5.78
		12	1.6×10^{6}	6.20
		18	3.8×10^{6}	6.58
		24	7.4×10^{6}	6.87
		30	1.0 × 10 ⁷	7.00

Discussion

Bacterial bloodstream infections have constituted a significant public health challenge and have represented a vital cause of morbidity and mortality in HIV-infected patients (Adevemi et al. 2010). Fluoroguinolones are constantly prescribed antibiotics owing to their range of activities and pharmacokinetic profiles (Grillon et al. 2020). The present study provides fundamental information on the in vitro antibacterial activities and time-kill bactericidal evaluation of three fluoroquinolone antibiotics: CIP, OFL and LEV against S. aureus, S. pneumoniae, Acinetobacter spp, S. typhi, K. pneumoniae, E. aerogenes, H. influenzae and P. aeruginosa from blood samples of HIV-infected patients. In vitro antibacterial activities of fluoroquinolone antibiotics against H. influenzae, S. pneumoniae and S. typhi in our study are consistent with the reports of Mascellino et al. (1998) and Akinjogunla and Eghafona (2011) on activities of fluoroquinolones on clinical bacterial isolates. Comparably, activity of CIP against Gramnegative bacterial isolates corresponds to the findings of Kumar et al. (2002) that CIP exhibited antibacterial activities against P. aeruginosa, Salmonella spp. and K. pneumoniae. The fluoroquinolone antibiotics used in this study demonstrated higher inhibitory activities at 5 mg mL⁻¹ concentration against bacterial isolates than at 2.5 mgmL⁻¹ concentration, signifying a concentration-dependent inhibition of bacterial growth. Relatedly, several reports have shown that fluoroquinolone antibiotics are concentration-dependent inhibition medications (Wrights et al. 2000; Pham et al. 2019).

In our study, OFL at a concentration of 5.0 mgmL⁻¹ had no inhibitory effect on the growth of *S. typhi* and this confirms the previous findings of Aliyu et al. (2021)

on time-kill analysis of OFL against S. typhi. The weakened activity of OFL against Salmonella spp, indicating an acquired gene for Ofloxacin resistance, has been reported (Kariuki et al. 2015). Generally, OFL is administered either orally or intravenously for effective treatment of a wide range of infections, and its primary mechanism of action is to inhibit bacterial DNA gyrase (Todd and Faulds 1991). S. pneumoniae and H. influenzae displayed sensitivity to LEV, even at a low concentration of 2.5 mg mL⁻¹. This is in line with the report by Zhang et al. (2019) on the high susceptibility of group B streptococci to LEV. Additionally, the sensitivity of *H. influenzae* and *S. pneumoniae* to LEV corresponds to the previous report by Akinjogunla and Eghafona (2011) on the susceptibility of S. pneumoniae and H. influenzae to LEV. However, this is contrary to Bastida et al. (2003) who reported a high rate of LEV resistant H. influenzae. Levofloxacin has been reported to be effective against H. influenzae (Anderson and Perry 2008). Levofloxacin promotes the breakage of DNA strands by inhibiting DNA gyrase in susceptible organisms which causes inhibition of the relaxation of supercoiled DNA (Podder and Sadig 2021).

The MIC values for fluoroquinolones against 12 isolates from HIV-infected patients ranged from 6.25 to > 50 μ g/ mL. Levofloxacin and Ciprofloxacin MIC values for P. aeruginosa PA09 were 12.5 and 6.25 µg/mL respectively, indicating that Levofloxacin had higher MIC values than CIP for *P. aeruginosa*. This agrees with MacGowan et al. (1999) that LEV had higher MIC values than CIP and was less bactericidal at equivalent concentrations against P. aeruginosa. Relatedly, Ciprofloxacin MIC values for S. pneumoniae SP02 and SP10 were lower than those of Levofloxacin MIC values. These findings agree with Ramakrishnan et al. (2010) who obtained Ciprofloxacin MIC values lower than that of LEV in their studies, and this also confirms a high degree of activity of CIP against S. pneumoniae. We obtained MBC/MIC ratios of 1:1 and 1:2. Noviello et al. (2002) also reported MBC/MIC ratio in the range 1:1 and 1:2 in their study on comparative in vitro bacteriostatic and bactericidal activity of LEV and CIP. The bactericidal activities of fluoroquinolones against bacterial isolates from HIV-infected patients were determined using a time-kill kinetics assay. Bacteriocidal activity of fluoroquinolones was deemed to be present if there was a 3 Log₁₀-fold reduction in CFU/ mL of surviving bacteria or $a \ge 99.9\%$ reduction in survival from the original inoculum. Our study showed that fluoroquinolone antibiotics exhibited \geq 99.9% reductions in some viable cell counts of the test bacteria between 24 and 30 h of interaction at $(1 \times MIC)$ concentrations. We also observed that LEV and CIP displayed a 3 Log₁₀-fold reduction in CFU/mL of *K. pneumoniae*. This is contrary to Grillon et al. (2020) who in their time-kill studies reported an absence of bactericidal activity of LEV and CIP against *K. pneumoniae*. Ciprofloxacin had bactericidal effects on *P. aeruginosa* PA09 at 30 h post-inoculation, and this agrees with Segatore et al. (2020) who reported the bactericidal activity of CIP on different phenotypes of *P. aeruginosa*. A marked reduction in the viable cell counts of *H. influenzae* HI27, *S. pneumoniae* SP02 and *S. typhi* ST07 exposed to LEV at (1 × MIC) concentrations was observed, but \geq 99.9% reduction was obtained at 30 h post-inoculation.

The result is slightly dissimilar to the findings of Kitzis et al. (1999) who obtained a \geq 99.9% reduction in *H. influenzae* at 18 h of exposure to LEV. The percent reduction in viable cell counts of *E. aerogenes* EA01 and *Acinetobacter* spp AS01 exposed to OFL ranged from 23.1 to \geq 99.9% and 90.9 to \geq 99.9% after 30 h of interaction, respectively. Our results on the time-kill kinetics of OFL against *Acinetobacter* spp AS01 are comparable with the previous findings of Sato et al. (1996) who reported a high bactericidal action of OFL and the related new quinolone agents against *Acinetobacter* spp. and other clinical bacterial isolates.

Conclusions

The CIP, OFL and LEV demonstrated higher inhibitory activities at higher concentrations.

against etiology of bacteremia in HIV-Infected patients, signifying a concentration-dependent inhibition of bacterial growth. In terms of MIC and MBC values, CIP was the most active drug against *S. pneumoniae* and LEV against *S. typhi, S. aureus* and *Acinetobacter* spp. The MIC-based time-kill curve analyses showed that LEV achieved a 3 Log₁₀-fold reduction (\geq 99.9% reduction) in CFU/mL of most bacteria tested quicker compared with the other two fluoroquinolones. Consequent upon these findings, in vivo antibacterial studies of OFL, LEV, and CIP on different experimental animals with bacterial bloodstream infections are required.

Abbreviations

OFL: Ofloxacin; LEV: Levofloxacin; CIP: Ciprofloxacin; MHA: Mueller–Hinton agar; MIC: Minimum inhibitory concentration; MBC: Minimum bactericidal concentration; PC: Plate count.

Acknowledgements

The Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, University of Uyo, Nigeria, is greatly acknowledged for providing the basic equipment for this research work. The authors are also grateful to the laboratory staff for supplying the bacterial isolates used.

Author contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all the authors. OJA and ATO were involved in experimental design. UFU and IE performed the statistical analysis. OJA, UEU and ATO drafted the manuscript and managed literature

searches. MFA, JE and EKA proofread the draft manuscript and made major revisions. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

No funding was received to carry out this research.

Availability of data and materials

The authors are willing to share all data used in this study upon a reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, University of Uyo, P.M.B. 1017, Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. ²Department of Microbiology, College of Pure and Applied Sciences, Landmark University, Omu-Aran, Kwara State, Nigeria. ³Department of Pharmaceutical Microbiology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Uyo, P.M.B. 1017, Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. ⁴Department of Biological Sciences, Lagos State University of Science and Technology, Ikorodu, Lagos State, Nigeria.

Received: 28 March 2022 Accepted: 4 May 2022 Published online: 12 May 2022

References

- Adeleye IA, Akanmu AS, Bamiro BS, Obosi AC, Inem AV (2010) Bacterial bloodstream infections in HIV-infected adults attending a Lagos Teaching Hospital. J Health Popul Nutr 28(4):318–326
- Agbo EC, Achi OK, Nwachukwu E, Obeta MU, Obiora EO, Maduka KM et al (2020) Time kill kinetics study of commonly used disinfectants against biofilm forming *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Federal Medical Centre. Umuahia-Nigeria Am J Biomed Sci Res 7(3):262–268
- Akinjogunla OJ, Adegoke AA (2009) Sero-prevalence of Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) 1 and 2 infections in Uyo metropolis. Akwa Ibom State Sci Res Essays 4(6):590–593
- Akinjogunla OJ, Eghafona NO (2011) Prevalence, haemolytic activities and fluoroquinolones susceptibility profiles of Moraxella catarrhalis, Streptococcus pneumoniae and Haemophilus influenzae associated with acute otitis media. Nat Sci 9(6):85–92
- Akinjogunla OJ, Medo OI, Philip EP (2012) Haemolytic activities, deoxyribonuclease production and *in-vitro* fluoroquinolones susceptibility profile of aerobic Gram positive cocci associated with *Acne vulgaris*. Sci J Biol Sci 1(2):52–60
- Akinjogunla OJ, Umo AN, Alozie MF, Oshosanya GO, Saturday GI (2021) Antibacterial potentiality and time kill kinetics of amlodipine, thioridazine and promethazine against pathogenic bacterial isolates from clinical samples. Afr J Clin Exp Microbiol 22(3):397–406
- Akkerman-Nijland AM, Akkerman OW, Grasmeijer F, Hagedoorn P, Frijlink HW, Rottier BL, Koppelman GH, Touw DJ (2021) The pharmacokinetics of antibiotics in cystic fibrosis. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 17(1):53–68
- Aliyu AS, Ahmed I, Abdulmalik I, Shamsiyya MS, Usman YS, Sadisu FU et al (2021) In vitro analysis of time-kill curves of some antimicrobial agents against Salmonella typhi. AJBMR 4(3):108–118
- Anderson VR, Perry CM (2008) Levofloxacin: a review of its use as a high-dose, short-course treatment for bacterial infection. Drugs 68:535–565
- Bastida M, Perez-Vazquez M, Campos J, Cortes-Lietget MC, Roman F et al (2003) Levofloxacin treatment failure in *Haemophilus influenzae* pneumonia. Emerg Infect Dis 9(11):1475–1478

- Brar RK, Jyoti U, Patil RK, Patil HC (2020) Fluoroquinolone antibiotics: an overview. Adesh Univ J Med Sci Res 2(1):26–30
- CLSI (2018) Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 28th edn. CLSI supplement M100S. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne
- Goldstein EJC, Citron DM, Merriam CV, Warren YA, Tyrrell KL, Fernandez H (2002) *In vitro* activities of the des-fluoro (6) quinolone BMS-284756 against aerobic and anaerobic pathogens isolated from skin and soft tissue animal and human bite wound infections. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 46:866–870
- Grillon A, Schramm F, Kleinberg M, Jehl M (2020) Comparative activity of ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin and moxifloxacin against *K. pneumoniae*, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. maltophilia* assessed by minimum inhibitory concentrations and time-kill studies. PLoS ONE 11(6):e0156690

Helgesen E, Sætre F, Skarstad K (2021) Topoisomerase IV tracks behind the replication fork and the SeqA complex during DNA replication in *Escherichia coli*. Sci Report 11:474

- Holt JG, Krieg NR, Sneath PA, Stanley JT, Williams ST (1994) Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology, 9th edn. Williams and Wilkins Co., p 786
- Hooper D (2000) Quinolones. In: Mandell GL, Douglas R, Bennett JE (eds) Principles and practice of infectious diseases. Churchill Livingstone, pp 404–423
- Kariuki S, Okoro C, Kiiru J, Njoroge S, Omuse G, Langridge G et al (2015) Ceftriaxone resistant Salmonella enterica serotype typhimurium sequence type 313 from Kenyan patients is associated with the blaCTX-M-15 gene on a novel IncHI2 plasmid. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59(6):3133–3139
- Kitzis MD, Goldstein FW, Miegi M, Acar JF (1999) In vitro activity of levofloxacin, a new fluoroquinolone: evaluation against *H. influenzae* and *Moraxella catarrhalis*. J Antimicrob Chemother 43(Suppl):21–26
- Kocsis B, Domokos J, Szabo D (2016) Chemical structure and pharmacokinetics of novel quinolone agents represented by avarofloxacin, delafloxacin, finafloxacin, zabofloxacin and nemonoxacin. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob 15:34–35
- Kumar R, Aneja KR, Roy P, Sharma M, Gupta R et al (2002) Evaluation of minimum inhibitory concentration of quinolones and third generation cephalosporins to *Salmonella typhi* isolates. Indian J Med Sci 56:1–8
- Lo CL, Lee CC, Li CW, Li MC, Hsueh PR, Lee NY, Ko WC (2017) Fluoroquinolone therapy for bloodstream infections caused by extended-spectrum betalactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 50(3):355–361
- Lode H, Allewelt M (2002) Role of newer fluoroquinolones in lower respiratory tract infections. J Antimicrob Chemother 49(5):709–712
- MacGowan AP, Wootton M, Holt HA (1999) The antibacterial efficacy of levofloxacin and ciprofloxacin against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* assessed by combining antibiotic exposure and bacterial susceptibility. J Antimicrob Chemother 43:345–349
- Martin SJ, Zeigler DG (2004) The use of fluoroquinolones in the treatment of skin infections. Expert Opin Pharmacother 5(2):237–246
- Mascellino MT, Farinelli S, legri F, Iona E, De-Simone C (1998) Antimicrobial activity of fluoroquinolones and other antibiotics on 1116 clinical Gram-positive and Gram-negative isolates. Drugs Exp Clin Res 24(3):139–151
- Moshirfar M, Chew J, Werner L, Meyer JJ, Hunter B, Stevens S et al (2008) Comparison of the effects of fourth-generation fluoroquinolones on corneal re-epithelialization in rabbit eyes. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 246:1455–1457
- Noviello S, lanniello F, Leone S, Esposito S (2002) Comparative in vitro bacteriostatic and bactericidal activity of levofloxacin and ciprofloxacin against urinary tract pathogens determined by MIC, MBC, time-kill curves and bactericidal index analysis. Infez Med 10(2):100–106
- Ojo-Bola O, Oluyege AO (2014) Antibiotics resistance of bacteria associated with Pneumonia in HIV/AIDS Patients in Nigeria. Am J Infect Dis Microbiol 2(6):138–144
- Park KH, Kim DY, Lee YM, Lee MS, Kang KC, Lee JH (2019) Selection of an appropriate empiric antibiotic regimen in hematogenous vertebral osteomyelitis. PLoS ONE 14(2):e0211888
- Pham TDM, Ziora ZM, Blaskovich MAT (2019) Quinolone antibiotics. Medchemcomm 10:1719–1739
- Podder V, Sadiq NM (2021) Levofloxacin. StatPearls, pp 1–2
- Ramakrishnan R, Ramesh S, Bharathi MJ, Amuthan M, Viswanathan S (2010) Comparative *in-vitro* efficacy of fluoroquinolones against *Streptococcus*

pneumoniae recovered from bacterial keratitis as determined by E-test. Indian J Pathol Microbiol 53:276–280

- Sato K, Inoue Y, Fujii T, Aoyama H, Mitsuhashi S (1996) Antibacterial activity of ofloxacin and its mode of action. Infect 14(Suppl 4):S226–S230
- Segatore B, Setacci D, Perilli M, Franceschini N, Marchetti F, Amicosante F (2020) Bactericidal activity of levofloxacin and ciprofloxacin on clinical isolates of different phenotypes of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Int J Antimicrob Agents 13(3):223–226
- Snydman DR, Jacobus NV, McDermott LA et al (2002) In vitro activities of newer quinolones against *Bacteroides* group organisms. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 46:3276–3279
- Todd PA, Faulds D (1991) Ofloxacin. A reappraisal of its antimicrobial activity, pharmacology and therapeutic use. Drug 42(5):825–976
- Zhang Z, Chen M, Yu Y, Pan S, Liu Y (2019) Antimicrobial susceptibility among Streptococcus pneumoniae and Haemophilus influenzae collected globally between 2015 and 2017 as part of the Tigecycline Evaluation and Surveillance Trial (TEST). Infect Drug Resist 12:1209–1220
- Zurlo JJ, Lane HC (1997) Aids Etiology, diagnosis, treatment and prevention. Other bacterial infections. Lippincolt-Raven

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com